

Photocopy of FAX! - Last of evidence for the Town of Mayodan. Enjoy! My Innocence will be proven - Brian D. Hill

Page 1/1 - Letter to N.C. Rep James L. Boles, Jr. - 12/17/2015

**FIRST SUBMISSION OF EVIDENCE PAPERS AND NOTES TO PROVE
POSSIBLE MISCONDUCT BY SBI AGENT RODNEY V. WHITE**

RECEIPT CONFIRMATION
REQUESTED

Thursday, December 17, 2015 - 01:44 AM

ATTN: Representative James L. Boles, Jr.

N.C. House of Representatives

URGENT

300 N. Salisbury Street, Room 528; Raleigh, NC 27603-5925

Phone: 919-733-5903; Faxed: 919-754-3152; 910-692-8171 (Home)

Dear the Honorable N.C. Representative James L. Boles, Jr.,

I now hereby submit my first Evidence Statements and 1-Page Brief Letter to you and the two other Co-Chairs of the Joint Legislative Oversight Committee on Justice and Public Safety. I am attaching 4-Pages to this Letter of State Crime Lab documentation that I am excerpting and taking written notes on regarding my case. According to the State Crime Lab Documentation, certain procedures have to be followed right down to the letter for acceptance of evidence and credible forensic analysis procedures. State Bureau of Investigation Special Agent Rodney V. White in Greensboro, NC, did not seem to have followed any similar procedure to the letter. For child porn to have downloaded to July 28, 2013, a special computer forensic analysis tower was not used as outlined in the Crime Lab Document I have attached. Even if a tower was used, apparently he must have booted up my seized Laptop hard drive instead of the approved forensic drive. A computer virus cannot run on a computer hard disk drive unless that hard disk was booted up with the computer infection and computer virus threats. This letter and attached evidence supports my 10-Page Petition + Affidavit. SA White screwed that up didn't he!

It appears that the State Crime Lab may never have accepted my seized Laptop for analysis since Mayodan PD Detective Christopher Todd Brim and Reidsville PD Detective Robert Bridge have browsed through my Laptop files themselves as they had admitted in the audio of my false confession Aug. 29, 2012. Because of this the State Crime Laboratory never would have accepted examination as they would have discovered the time stamps dates changing to after my computer was seized. Agent White never investigated why child porn downloaded to the Laptop after it was seized. Total incompetence or frame up. This shoddy forensic analysis does not prove me guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, even to a simple child pornography possession charge. I ask that I receive a written or verbal confirmation that this Fax was received successfully. Thank You!

Brian D. Hill
signed

U.S.W.G.O.

Sincerely,

Brian David Hill

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter and founder

Home Phone #: (276) 632-2599

916 Chalmers St., Apt. D, Martinsville, VA 24112

EVIDENCE CONTROL UNIT

Evidence Control Units in the Raleigh Laboratory and the Western and Triad Regional Laboratories ensure proper evidence flow and tracking. The Evidence Control Units receive, distribute and return all evidence processed by the State Crime Laboratory.

Evidence Technicians, and other approved personnel, receive and store all evidence submitted to the Laboratory after the case information is logged into the Laboratory's Forensic Advantage (FA) system. The evidence is transferred to the appropriate Laboratory analyst(s) for analysis upon request. Generally, the submitting officer will not meet directly with the analyst who will conduct the analysis. Exceptions to this practice may be made for individual cases when circumstances require the submitting officer to talk directly with the analyst(s). If you feel you have a special need to discuss certain aspects of your case, you may request such a meeting with the analyst(s).

-SBI Agent White avoided crime Lab completely

EVIDENCE ACCEPTANCE POLICY

The State Crime Laboratory accepts evidence which meets the following criteria:

- The evidence has been obtained as the result of an official criminal investigation.
- The submitting agency is a law enforcement agency or company/campus police agency certified or commissioned through the North Carolina Criminal Justice Education and Training Standards Commission, The North Carolina Sheriffs' Education and Training Standards Commission or the North Carolina Company and Campus Police Program.
- The investigating officer intends to pursue a criminal case pending the results of evidence analysis and/or the related investigation.
- The evidence has not been previously examined by another analyst or laboratory, unless prior approval has been requested and received from the Crime Laboratory Director.

EVIDENCE SUBMISSION PROCEDURES

Adherence to proper evidence submission procedures is essential for analysts to evaluate evidence properly, to maintain the chain of custody, and to maintain the physical integrity and evidentiary value of submitted items. Failure to follow the Laboratory's instructions when submitting evidence could result in the evidence being returned unanalyzed.

Should you have any questions as to the proper evidence submission procedures, it is always best to call the Laboratory first.

- Since detectives used computer first, admitted to it, relied on my false confession ~~then~~ ~~and~~. Admitted that they looked through my computer files in small town police department, Mayaguez Police Department.

COMPUTER FORENSICS EXAMINATIONS

(Submission Questionnaire)

Notes (written) by
Defendant Brian D. Hill for purpose of criminal defense.
signed

LAB Number: _____

Under what lawful authority was this evidence seized?

☐

Search Warrant

☐

Court Order

☐

Consent

☐

Other (Specify):

Seized on Aug 28, 2012

Condition of the computer at the time of seizure? ON ☐ OFF ☐

Was any attempt made to access the computer (i.e., turn it on or remove anything from it)? NO ☐ YES ☐

If yes, explain actions taken:

SBT Agent Rodney should have brought up that Mayedon Police Dept. and Reidsville detective admitted to looking through my computer files themselves, and are not ASCLD/LAB scientists.

Describe in detail the incident and how the computer may have been used in the crime:

(Attach a copy of the investigative report, if available)

Why didn't Agent White investigate the child porn download dates July 29, 2012 to July 28, 2013.

List the date(s) or a date range that should be searched:

List key words and/or specific items to be searched for during forensic processing:

List any passwords that may have been used and are known to you:

List any screen names, user names, e-mail addresses, etc. that are pertinent to the search:

Note: Any media containing contraband, including evidence hard drives and CDs and discs prepared by the State Crime Laboratory, should not be disseminated to anyone other than law enforcement in connection with an official investigation and should not be returned to the subjects from which it was confiscated.

Technical Procedure for Evidence Search

- 1.0 **Purpose** - The purpose of this procedure is to provide a systematic means of searching digital evidence in order to find data sought by the search authorization.
- 2.0 **Scope** - This procedure describes the steps to be taken by personnel of the State Crime Laboratory in searching computer evidence that is submitted.

3.0 Definitions

- **Forensic drive** - Hard drive containing the operating system and all the forensic software that will be used in the examination.
- **Target drive** - Drive that holds the forensic image of the suspect drive and the case file containing any evidence found on the subject drive.

4.0 Equipment, Materials and Reagents

- **Forensic Tower or Portable Forensic Workstation**
- Approved Forensic Software

5.0 Procedure

- 5.1 Read the search authorization (e.g., search warrant or consent) to ensure the scope of search is authorized by the document. Install the forensic drive and the target drive into the forensic tower.
- 5.2 Ensure that the forensic drive is installed as the primary master and the target drive is installed as either the primary slave, secondary master, or secondary slave.
- 5.3 Boot the forensic tower from the forensic drive.
- 5.4 Run approved software to undelete any deleted files and recover files and file fragments from unallocated space.
- 5.5 The forensic image of the evidence drive shall be examined for the presence of any deleted partitions on the hard drive. If any deleted partitions are noted, these partitions shall be recovered.
- 5.6 The forensic image of the evidence drive shall be examined for the presence of any deleted folders on the hard drive. Any deleted folders shall be recovered.
- 5.7 If using EnCase, a file mounter encrypt shall be run to mount any zipped or compressed files so that the files contained inside can be examined.
- 5.8 A signature analysis shall be run on all files in the case prior to the examination of these files. The signature analysis checks the file header information to ensure that the files have not been identified with an incorrect file extension.

5.9 For Cases Involving Images

- 5.9.1 Computer search software or graphics thumbnail software can be used to view images on a forensic image.

Notes on paper by Defendant Brian D. Hill
Signed
child porn known met points to
possibility Rodney White didn't use
forensic tower but booted target drive

Technical Procedure for Writing Results Statements

1.0 Purpose - This procedure presents the approved statements that shall be used for reporting digital evidence analysis results in the State Crime Laboratory.

2.0 Scope - This procedure applies to all written result statements for digital evidence analysis in the State Crime Laboratory.

3.0 Definitions

- **Standard Computer Result Statements** – Result statements which are common for all computer cases regardless of the type of case being examined.
- **Non-Standard Computer Result Statements** – Result statements which vary in their content due to the nature of the data being bookmarked and/or due to the nature of the case being worked.

4.0 Equipment, Materials and Reagents

4.1 Equipment and Materials

- Computer with Forensic Advantage (FA) application

4.2 Reagents – N/A

5.0 Procedure – Analyses shall include an accurate interpretation of the actual results of the examination in a manner approved by the Forensic Scientist Manager or his/her designee and the Crime Laboratory Director. This interpretation may include or build upon one (1) or more of the following responses depending on the circumstances of the case and the nature of the digital evidence examination. The order in which the statements are arranged is left to the discretion of the Forensic Scientist. Deviations shall be approved according to the Laboratory Procedure for Authorizing Deviations.

5.1 Recovered Disc Reporting Method

5.1.1 Computer Result Statements

- 5.1.1.1** This report has been generated in association with Item (Item Number), which should be viewed in conjunction with this written report.
- 5.1.1.2** No data of interest were located on Item (Item number).
- 5.1.1.3** Item (Item number) was unable to be processed for digital evidence because (reason).
- 5.1.1.4** Item (Item Number) was/were scanned for threats using (software) with definitions dated (date). The virus scan log is available for review in the "Recovered\ Virus Scan Logs" folder on Item (Item Number).
- 5.1.1.5** (Number) Encrypted file/files of possible interest was/were recovered from Item (Item Number) and is/are available for review in the "Recovered\Encrypted Files" folder on Item (Item Number).